

ASWB AI discussion responses:

Ohio:

We have the following in our recording keeping rule (Ohio administrative Code 4757-5-09) in our “All notes regarding a client(s) must be developed and approved by the licensee or registrant and shall not be the sole work product of any other person or software/information technology system.” While this is not about AI only, we think it covers it. We are planning an edit in the coming year that will include diagnoses, treatment plans, and assessments.

North Carolina:

The North Carolina Social Work Certification and Licensure Board has established a [Position Statement on Technology Facilitated Services](#) which includes discussion regarding the use of AI by social workers. I have included a link to this statement for your reference.

Utah:

Utah has a new Office of Artificial Intelligence Policy <https://ai.utah.gov/> and they are currently working with a focus group to write a policy/position statement related to the use of AI by mental health providers.

Kentucky:

Kentucky has an AI Committee that is comprised of Legislators and stakeholders including our Board Chair. The purpose of the committee is to draft guidelines about the use of AI. They have been meeting quarterly since June.

Ontario:

In Ontario, we released the article "[The Use of Artificial Intelligence in Practice](#)" to give registrants a list of considerations to review if they were considering using AI in practice.

American Association of State Counseling Boards (AASCB)

<https://aascb.org/resources/Documents/AASCB%20AI%20Position%20and%20Guidelines%20final.pdf>